



RSWA BOARD OF DIRECTORS
Minutes of Regular Meeting
March 24, 2026

A regular meeting of the Rivanna Solid Waste Authority (RSWA) Board of Directors was held on March 24, 2026 at 2:00 p.m. at the Rivanna Administration Building, (2nd Floor Conference Room), 695 Moores Creek Lane, Charlottesville, VA 22902.

Board Members Present: Mike Gaffney, Sam Sanders, Jeff Richardson, Jeffrey Dumars, Steven Hicks, Ann Mallek, Lloyd Snook.

Board Members Absent:

Rivanna Staff Present: Bill Mawyer, Dave Tungate, Phil McKalips, Lonnie Wood, Leah Beard, LaToya Smith, Deborah Anama, Jacob Woodson, Jon Williams, Westley Kern, Jeff Southworth.

Attorney(s) Present Valerie Long

1. CALL TO ORDER

Mr. Gaffney convened the March 24, 2026, regular meeting of the Board of Directors of the Rivanna Solid Waste Authority at 2:00 p.m.

2. AGENDA APPROVAL

Mr. Gaffney asked if there were any changes or suggestions regarding the agenda. Hearing none, he asked if there was a motion.

Ms. Mallek moved that the Board of Directors approve the Agenda. Mr. Sanders seconded the motion, which carried unanimously (7-0).

3. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS BOARD MEETING ON NOVEMBER 18, 2025

Mr. Gaffney asked if there were any comments or changes to the minutes of the previous meeting. Hearing none, he asked if there was a motion.

Mr. Snook moved that the Board of Directors approve the minutes of the previous meeting on November 18, 2025. Mr. Dumars seconded the motion, which carried unanimously (7-0).

4. ELECTION OF CHAIR, VICE CHAIR, & SECRETARY-TREASURER

Valeria Long, legal counsel to the Authority stated that Mr. Gaffney could entertain a motion to elect the chair or ask for other nominations on the floor. She suggested taking each election as a separate vote.

Ms. Mallek moved that the Board of Directors elect Mr. Gaffney as Chair. Mr. Sanders seconded the motion, which carried unanimously (7-0).

Bill Mawyer, Executive Director, stated that typically, the vice chairs for RWSA and RSWA changed positions with each election.

Ms. Mallek moved that the Board of Directors elect Mr. Richardson as Vice Chair. Mr. Sanders seconded the motion, which carried unanimously (7-0).

Ms. Mallek moved that the Board of Directors elect Mr. Sanders as Secretary-Treasurer. Mr. Richardson seconded the motion, which carried unanimously (7-0).

5. RECOGNITIONS

There were none.

6. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Mr. Mawyer stated that this was the first meeting in 2026 since the January meeting was canceled due to the inclement weather. He welcomed Mr. Snook and Ms. Mallek to the RSWA Board. He introduced LaToya Smith, who was recently hired as the Human Resources Manager. He stated that Ms. Smith held a bachelor's and master's degree in business administration with a concentration in human resources.

Mr. Mawyer stated that he would like to recognize Jerry Simmons, Recycling Assistant Manager, for passing the state license to become a waste management facility operator class two. He stated that Mr. Simmons had joined their team in 2021 as a driver and operator and had progressed to become an assistant manager.

Mr. Mawyer stated that the tonnage at Ivy had been increasing. He stated they had gone from 143 tons per day to 228 tons per day over the last four years, representing a 60% increase. He stated that they were permitted for 450 tons. He stated that in 2025, DEQ had taken notice that they had exceeded the 450 tons per day permit eight times. He stated that although there had been no violation, they did receive a warning letter. He stated the significant increase in tonnage was a testament to the success of the transfer program with the new facility and the decrease in charges.

Mr. Mawyer stated they needed to be more mindful of their operations, particularly on days when large loads were expected. He stated that they would work with the large haulers to try to balance the loads they brought daily. He stated that if they were approaching the 450-ton limit late in the day, they may need to close the gate to avoid a potential violation.

Mr. Gaffney asked if they knew where the extra tonnage was from. He asked if it was because of construction at UVA.

Mr. Mawyer stated that they did not. He stated that Mondays often experienced heavy volumes, and some days in September were particularly high. He stated that they would have to work with the community, including UVA, which had many of the large projects in the area. He stated that they could coordinate with contractors to distribute loads over multiple days.

Mr. Gaffney asked if the tonnage included dirt.

68 Mr. Mawyer stated that the tonnage was just the material coming through the transfer station, not
69 all of the materials going across the scale.

70 Mr. Snook asked what the daily average was.

71 Mr. Mawyer stated that the daily average in February was 228 tons per day. He stated that they
72 were sending approximately 15 truckloads per day to Henrico County for disposal. He stated that
73 the refuse through the station was increasing almost every year. He stated that in 2018, prior to
74 the construction of the new transfer station, they averaged 40 tons per day.

75 Mr. Gaffney asked if they considered increasing the tonnage on the permit.

76 Mr. Mawyer stated they could do that. He stated that previously, they were permitted for 350
77 tons and had coordinated with VDEQ to increase the permit to 450 tons. He stated that they were
78 considering increasing the tip fees again this year, and this could reduce demand.

79 Mr. Snook asked if there was a downside to an increased limit,

80 Mr. Mawyer stated that they would eventually reach the facility's capacity. He stated that
81 currently, they were handling almost 15 truckloads in an 8-10 hour day, and they could only
82 handle so many truckloads of debris each day.

83 Mr. Snook stated that the daily average for September was 307 tons, and they had days when the
84 count exceeded 450, indicating significant variability from day to day. He stated that he was
85 curious to know if there was a potential downside to setting a daily average of 300 to 350, while
86 allowing for up to 500. He stated that he wondered if there was a funding formula or mechanism
87 that could be negatively impacted if the daily average exceeded 500.

88 Mr. Mawyer stated that there was not. He stated that the County paid all the excess expenses of
89 the transfer operation to fully fund the facility. He stated that the County supplemented every ton
90 through the transfer station at about \$17 per ton. He stated that the more tons they processed, the
91 higher the County's allocation would be. He stated that they would generate more revenue, but
92 the expenses exceeded whatever increase they would realize.

93 Mr. Snook stated that his question was about whether there was a cost to having a higher limit,
94 not about costs associated with higher use.

95 Mr. Mawyer stated that there might not be any cost, but there was a limit to the capacity of the
96 facility. He stated that DEQ may have a formula to assess the permitted capacity. He stated that
97 the physical size of the facility was the main limitation.

98 Mr. Mawyer stated that mulch was available at Ivy created from vegetative waste delivered by
99 the public with a fee for disposal. He stated that a contractor ground the mulch for them, which
100 was a significant expense of \$300,000 to \$400,000 per year. He stated that they gave the mulch
101 away for free, a decision made after they previously charged for it but found it did not sell well.
102 He stated that this led to excess mulch piles that occasionally caught fire or required costly
103 removal by a contractor.

104 Mr. Mawyer stated that to address this, they now provided the mulch as a free service to the
105 community, which had been effective in disposing of most of the mulch without incurring
106 additional costs. He stated that this service was a benefit to the community. He stated that
107 regarding special collections, they would have an electronic waste collection on April 11.
108 Participants must register in advance to bring in computers, phones, and other electronic devices.

109 Mr. Mawyer stated that this would be followed by special collection days for household
110 hazardous waste, furniture, mattresses, appliances, and tires throughout April and May. He stated
111 that the Board had sponsored these events for over 20 years, and they had been well-received by
112 the community. He stated that they had transitioned to a cashless payment system at Ivy, using
113 credit and debit cards and checks with no cash accepted.

114 Mr. Mawyer stated that this change had been successful, starting in February. He stated that they
115 also extended daylight savings time hours at the McIntire Recycling Center by one hour,
116 allowing customers to bring more refuse each day. He stated they had distributed a newsletter to
117 5,500 customers regarding the special collection events.

118 Ms. Mallek asked if the e-waste reservation was full.

119 Mr. Mawyer stated it was not. He stated there were 480 spots, and 360 were filled. He stated that
120 another new program approved by the Board was the lithium battery collection program. He
121 stated that this initiative came on the heels of several fires, including in the transfer station and
122 multiple trailers in their storage lot, all suspected to be caused by lithium batteries.

123 Mr. Mawyer stated that to address this issue, they purchased a fireproof storage facility
124 specifically designed for lithium battery collection and storage. He stated that this facility was
125 expected to be available in April. He stated that the lithium battery collection program was
126 scheduled to open in May, at which point they would begin collecting lithium batteries at Ivy.

127 Ms. Mallek asked if there was a fee for collection of the batteries.

128 Mr. Mawyer responded that there was not. He stated that when they held the special collection
129 events, they would have a contractor collect the batteries for disposal. He stated that lithium
130 battery disposal was only available for residents and could not be used by commercial entities.

131 Mr. Gaffney asked how drop-off would work.

132 Mr. Mawyer stated that the facility would be located at the Ivy Convenience Center, where they
133 already had a paint drop-off containment along with other recycling products. He stated that it
134 was likely that the facility would be staffed to assist individuals in getting started. He stated they
135 would need to handle lithium batteries and apply protective tape to prevent them from catching
136 fire. He stated that a staff member would be present to help with the process.

137 Ms. Mallek stated that they should consider adding a call button or other way to notify staff so
138 that someone was not needed to monitor the drop-off all the time.

139 Mr. Mawyer stated that the current Ivy Convenience Center staff would assist customers and
140 manage the lithium battery collection program.

141 **7. ITEMS FROM THE PUBLIC**

142 *Matters Not Listed for Public Hearing on the Agenda*

143 There were none.

144 **8. RESPONSES TO PUBLIC COMMENTS**

145 There were no comments from the public, therefore, there were no responses.

146 **9. CONSENT AGENDA**

147 *a. Staff Report on Finance*

148 *b. Staff Report on Ivy Solid Waste and Recycling Center*

149 *c. Staff Report on Administration and Communications*

150 *d. Approval of Term Contract for Vegetative Waste Grinding and Hauling Services; Royal*
151 *Oak Farms*

152 **Ms. Mallek moved that the Board of Directors adopt the consent agenda. Mr. Sanders**
153 **seconded the motion, which carried unanimously (7-0).**

154 **10. OTHER BUSINESS**

155 *a. FY 25 Audit Report*

156 Matt McLearen, Managing Director of Robinson, Farmer, Cox Associates, stated that his firm
157 had performed the FY25 audit. He stated that the first item he would address was the governance
158 letter. He stated that this letter was a required communication from an auditor to the governing
159 body.

160 Mr. McLearen stated the first matter disclosed was the auditor's responsibilities under the audit.
161 He stated that the auditor was required to test documents, test controls, and opine on the financial
162 statements. He stated that management of the Authority was also responsible for its part in the
163 audit. He stated that most significantly, the Authority must maintain a system of internal controls
164 and accounting records throughout the fiscal year.

165 Mr. McLearen stated the Authority must accept responsibility for the financial statements
166 presented in the financial report each year. He stated that the next item described in the letter was
167 accounting estimates. He stated that as with most financial reports, there were accounting
168 estimates included in the development of the financial statements. He stated the most significant
169 estimates for the Authority were related to pension and OPEB liabilities. He stated the Authority
170 participated in the Virginia Retirement System (VRS), and with that reporting, there were several
171 estimates developed by the actuaries.

172 Mr. McLearen stated the next item was the difficulties encountered and performed during the
173 audit. He stated they were required to disclose any difficulties they had in performing the audit.

174 He stated that this could include incomplete records or the inability to access records needed to
175 complete their audit tests. He stated they had no such difficulties.

176 Mr. McLearen stated that the next item was the corrected and uncorrected misstatement. He
177 stated they had an uncorrected misstatement, which would be an adjusting entry during the
178 course of the audit that management refused or disagreed with and did not include in the
179 financial report. He stated they were required to disclose the details of that adjustment. He stated
180 they had no uncorrected misstatements. He stated they were required to disclose their knowledge
181 that management sought a second opinion, also known as opinion shopping. He stated they had
182 no knowledge of any matters related to the audit being discussed with another auditor.

183 Mr. McLearen stated that the financial report was in four sections. He stated that it was a
184 common format, as it was related to a certificate of achievement in financial reporting, which the
185 Authority had received for many years and had received it for the previous fiscal year. He stated
186 that starting on the financial section, the financial statements, they issued an unmodified or clean
187 opinion on the financial statements.

188 Mr. McLearen stated the three key financial statements were exhibit one, statement of net
189 position, which spanned two pages. He stated the second page was found on page 29, and the
190 term "net position" referred to equity. He stated the Authority's equity or net position at June 30,
191 2025, was approximately \$12.4 million. He stated that the second of the three exhibits was a
192 statement of revenues, expenses, and changes in net position, which reported the total revenues,
193 expenses, and change in equity. He stated the key takeaway was the change in that position,
194 which was an increase of approximately \$1.1 million for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2025.

195 Mr. McLearen stated that the third and final financial statement was the statement of cash flows,
196 which provided information on the cash position of the Authority. He stated that the ending cash
197 position was approximately \$3.5 million. He stated that this represented an increase of \$426,000
198 for the year ending June 30, 2025. He stated that the Authority also had a compliance section,
199 which included the independent auditor's report on internal controls. He stated that as part of
200 their audit process, they tested these controls to ensure they were operating as intended and in
201 place as needed. He stated that the controls were operating as intended.

202 **Ms. Mallek moved that the Board of Directors accept the FY 25 Audit Report. Mr. Sanders**
203 **seconded the motion, which carried unanimously (7-0).**

204 *b. Baling Facility Update and Authorization to Purchase Equipment*

205 Phil McKalips, Director of Solid Waste, stated that he would provide a brief update on the baling
206 facility's progress and request the Board's authorization to procure necessary equipment. He
207 stated that construction on the facility began in April 2025 and was approximately 80-85%
208 complete. He stated that they expected final completion to be in May, with operations scheduled
209 to start in July.

210 Mr. McKalips stated that the budget for the project was \$6.4 million, with a 70-30 County-City
211 allocation of costs. He stated that they would install Authority-procured equipment prior to
212 starting operations. He stated the existing paper sort facility would be closed, and baling
213 operations would be relocated to the new facility. He stated that remaining work includes

214 installing doors and other fit-and-finish items. He stated that they needed to install electrical,
215 establish vegetation, and address some road work.

216 Mr. McKalips stated they would install a new baling machine and move the existing machine
217 from Meade Ave to the new facility. He stated that they needed to purchase the baler, and the
218 cost was over \$413,350. He stated they would be purchasing new trailers and recycling the old
219 ones. He stated they would purchase the trailers through Mid-Atlantic Waste Systems. He stated
220 that all the costs were included in the existing project budget.

221 Mr. Dumars asked if they had considered leasing opportunities for the eleven trailers.

222 Mr. McKalips stated that they did not consider leasing options, but they did consider used
223 trailers. He stated the used market did not offer any late-model trailers, and they were concerned
224 about issues associated with used trailers. He stated that the floors in the trailers tended to be
225 damaged.

226 Mr. Gaffney asked if Authority employees operated the trailers.

227 Mr. McKalips stated yes.

228 Mr. Gaffney asked if Authority employees would handle maintenance.

229 Mr. McKalips stated that they had a service contract for maintenance of the balers, which was
230 specialized equipment, provided by Mid-Atlantic Waste Services. He stated that they also used a
231 company in the valley for trailer maintenance, which involved regular inspections to ensure they
232 were roadworthy. He stated that this process was similar to what would be done with any heavy
233 equipment.

234 **Ms. Mallek moved that the Board of Directors authorize the Executive Director to issue a**
235 **purchase order contract to Mid-Atlantic Waste Services in the amount of \$891,248 to**
236 **procure the new baler and 11 trailers for the baling facility. Mr. Sanders seconded the**
237 **motion, which carried unanimously (7-0).**

238 *c. Organizational Agreements of the RSWA*

239 Mr. McKalips stated that the Solid Waste Organizational Agreement was established in 1990. He
240 stated that it began with the Rivanna Solid Waste Authority taking over the Ivy landfill and other
241 solid waste facilities in 1990. He stated that prior to that, the City and County had an agreement
242 in place since 1974. He stated that following that, they had a settlement agreement with the
243 neighbors at Ivy, related to a lawsuit brought by a group of neighbors about environmental
244 conditions.

245 Mr. McKalips stated that this agreement would continue until they reached the end of post-
246 closure care. He stated that in 2005, they established an Environmental MOU, which brought
247 together the City, County, and UVA to address environmental issues associated with past
248 landfiling practices. He stated that the Local Support Agreement was established in 2007. He
249 stated that over time, they had several agreements, ultimately leading to an eighth agreement.

250 Mr. McKalips stated that these agreements covered various aspects, including recycling
251 programs and cost allocations. He stated that when the City stepped back from Ivy operations,
252 the County took on the responsibility of covering costs. He stated that they then established the
253 Ivy Convenience Center in 2019, and most recently, the Southern Albemarle Convenience
254 Center license agreement with the County. He stated that each new facility had a different
255 agreement, but they had since shifted toward using the Solid Waste Organizational Agreement
256 whenever possible. He stated that the Authority was now licensing the use of the property for
257 operations, with the organizational agreement providing rules for cost allocations.

258 Mr. McKalips stated that the Solid Waste Organizational Agreement was due for renewal or
259 modification in July 2030. He stated that the settlement agreement with the neighbors would
260 extend until they reached the end of post-closure care, which was expected to happen when they
261 achieved their groundwater remediation goals. He stated that the environmental MOU ended in
262 2034, although it may be extended. He stated that it contained the funding split between the
263 County and City of 64.5% to 35.5%. He stated that by 2034, UVA would no longer provide
264 contributions.

265 Mr. McKalips stated that the Local Government Support Agreement was established to fund the
266 Authority and administrative expenses. He stated that the first amendment to this Agreement
267 began an annual renewal process. He stated that the Local Government Recycling Programs
268 Agreement of 2011 established a 70-30 split between the City and the County for the recycling
269 cost center, excluding County convenience centers.

270 Mr. McKalips stated that the Ivy MUC Programs Agreement, primarily established to build the
271 new transfer station, also set a requirement that the Board of Supervisors must request changes to
272 tipping fees. He stated that they utilized this arrangement to achieve that. He stated that the
273 Convenience Center Agreement was to design the new convenience center at Ivy. He stated the
274 Southern Albemarle Convenience Center Agreement was to establish relationships that
275 authorized them to use the property.

276 Mr. McKalips stated that they wished to apply this model to establish an agreement for the
277 McIntire Recycling Center, as they currently lacked a clear definition of responsibilities and
278 limitations.

279 Ms. Mallek asked for more information about the remediation expenses. She stated that she
280 wanted to know the ongoing cost split.

281 Mr. McKalips stated the costs were outlined in the Environmental MOU. He stated the split was
282 64.5% to the County and 35.5% to the City after contributions from UVA. He stated that until
283 2034, UVA will contribute \$79,982 per year. He stated that in 2034, there would be no official
284 agreement to cover the environmental costs unless they were reincorporated into the Solid Waste
285 Organizational Agreement.

286 Mr. Gaffney stated that when the agreement was first drafted, the County did not want to pay
287 more than 60%, and the City did not want to pay more than 33%. He stated they determined that
288 UVA contributed about 7% to the landfill. He stated that they had received commitments that

289 UVA would pay its fair share, and so the agreement was drafted. He stated that the UVA
290 obligation was limited to 30 years, after which there would be no further obligation.

291 *d. Introduction of FY 2026-2027 Budget and Resolution to Adopt the Preliminary Rate*
292 *Schedule*

293 David Tungate, Deputy Executive Director, stated that the total FY 27 budget was \$11.7 million,
294 consisting of \$11.7 million in expenses, a \$1.1 million or 10.5% increase from FY 26. He stated
295 that the FY 27 revenues were projected to be \$6 million, which is a \$0.39 million or 6.9%
296 increase over FY 26. He stated that the net result was \$5.7 million difference, which is a \$0.72
297 million or 14.6% increase from FY 26.

298 Mr. Tungate stated that the Albemarle County allocation accounted for \$4.62 million, which is a
299 \$0.58 million dollars or 14.3% increase from FY 27. The City of Charlottesville allocation was
300 \$0.99 million, which is a \$0.15 million dollars or 17.3% increase over FY 26. The UVA
301 allocation was \$79,982 through FY 35. He stated that as RSWA staff examined the 21-year
302 budget history, expenses and revenues had increased significantly since FY 18 when the new
303 Transfer Station was constructed.

304 Mr. Tungate stated that the RSWA had several significant accomplishments in FY 26. There
305 was a 20% growth in the tons of material processed through the Ivy Transfer Station and clean
306 fill tonnage. He stated that as of February 2026, the Ivy Solid Waste and Recycling Center was
307 cashless. He stated the baling facility construction continued at the northern end of the ISWRC.
308 Dave also stated that the Dominion Power's solar array was operational, generating monthly
309 revenues. He stated that RSWA staff had successfully marketed snow disposal services to
310 homeowner associations and property management companies, resulting in 82 loads of snow and
311 approximately \$2,000 in additional revenue. In FY 27, the RSWA will complete the design and
312 permitting of the Northern Convenience Center and retrofit the Ivy maintenance space to
313 increase office and training spaces.

314 Mr. Tungate stated that the total budget for fiscal year 2027 was \$11.7 million, with 50%
315 allocated to the Ivy Transfer Station at \$5.8 million, 17% dedicated to environmental programs,
316 15% to Ivy operations, 11% to recycling, and 7% for the convenience centers.

317 Mr. Tungate stated that the section outlined the Ivy Operations budget included expenses of
318 \$1.69 million and revenues of \$1.34 million, resulting in a net expense of \$0.35 million. Ivy
319 operations cover vegetative waste, mulch, clean fill, and tire programs. He stated there were no
320 capital expenditures planned for FY 27. He stated the County paid for 100% of the Ivy
321 operations budget.

322 Mr. Tungate stated the Environmental program encompassed groundwater and gas remediation,
323 maintenance of closed landfill cells, e-waste, paint, and household hazardous waste special
324 collection programs. He stated that the expenses totaled \$2 million, while their revenue was
325 \$10,000. He stated that the costs were split according to the 64.5%-35.5% cost allocation
326 agreement between the County, City, after the \$79,982 from UVA. The Environmental program
327 includes \$0.75 M for storm water basin repairs.

328 Mr. Tungate stated that the transfer station program included contracted transportation and
329 disposal costs to haul municipal solid waste and construction demolition debris to a landfill in
330 Henrico County along with staff and maintenance costs. This program also includes appliances
331 and furniture disposal. The transfer station expenses totaled \$5.82 million and revenue was
332 \$4.28 million, resulting in a net expense of \$1.54 million. He stated the only capital expense in
333 FY 27 for this cost center was the transfer station floor survey. He stated the costs were allocated
334 100% to the County.

335 Mr. Tungate stated the convenience centers included the operation and maintenance of the Ivy
336 and Southern Albemarle Convenience Centers, and development of the Northern Convenience
337 Center. He stated it had an expense of \$0.82 million, revenue of \$0.05 million, and a total net
338 expense of \$0.77 million. He stated the capital expenses for FY 27 included \$0.50 million for the
339 Northern Convenience Center design and \$0.25 million for a stormwater improvement project at
340 the Ivy Convenience Center. He stated that the cost allocation was 100% to the County.

341 Mr. Tungate stated the recycling program had expenses of \$1.23 million, revenues of \$0.19
342 million, and a net expense of \$1.03 million. He stated there were no proposed capital
343 expenditures for FY 27. He stated that costs were split 70% to the County and 30% to the City.

344 Mr. Tungate stated that administrative services had expenses totaling \$1.69 million with revenue
345 of \$0.11 million, resulting in a net expense of \$1.58 million. He stated that notable investments
346 in this line item include administrative expenses for Rivanna Authority leadership (Executive
347 Director and Deputy Executive Director) along with Finance, Human Resources,
348 Communications, and Information Technology. I.T. servers moving to the cloud, event
349 advertisements, and the OK Alone safety monitoring software are some of the notable
350 investments. These expenses are allocated between the City and the County based on program
351 agreements for transfer, operations, recycling, and environmental MOUs.

352 The allocation summary included a \$0.99 million obligation from the City of Charlottesville and
353 \$4.62 million obligation from the County, along with a \$79,982 from the University of Virginia.

354 Mr. Tungate stated that the special collections event schedule included electronic waste
355 collection on April 11, commercial hazardous waste on April 16, household hazardous waste on
356 April 17 and 18, furniture and mattresses on April 25, appliances on May 2, and a tire collection
357 event on May 9. He stated the events had limited space available.

358 Mr. Tungate stated that the RSWA organization chart included a total of 28 FTEs. He stated the
359 FY 27 budget included a 2% merit and 2.5% COLA salary adjustment, with no new positions.

360 Mr. Tungate stated that RSWA conducted a local tipping fee survey, which was based on data
361 from March 2026. He stated the current RSWA solid waste tipping fee was \$60/ton, and they
362 are proposing a \$4 increase. He stated that the average fee for other facilities in the area was
363 around \$58.50. He stated that it was worth noting that only the transfer stations in Greene and
364 Nelson County allow non-county residents to dispose of waste.

365 Mr. Tungate stated in FY 27 that the clean fill project > than 10,000 tons will have fees increase
366 from \$3.50 to \$4/ton. He stated that vegetative yard waste fees would increase from \$54 to
367 \$58/ton, domestic MSW would increase from \$60 to \$64/ton, and construction demolition debris

368 would increase from \$60 to \$64/ton. The minimum charge per load would increase from \$6 to
369 \$8. RSWA estimated approximately 21,000 transactions per year, generating a revenue of around
370 \$42,000 based on the minimum charge per load.

371 Mr. Tungate stated that they were proposing a public hearing on May 26, 2026, at 2 p.m. for the
372 budget and fee schedule.

373 **Ms. Mallek moved that the Board of Directors adopt the preliminary rate schedule**
374 **including authorization to advertise the preliminary rate schedule for a public hearing to**
375 **be held during the regular meeting of the Board of Directors on May 26, 2026. Mr. Snook**
376 **seconded the motion, which carried unanimously (7-0).**

377 ***11. OTHER ITEM FROM BOARD/STAFF NOT ON THE AGENDA***

378 There were none.

379 ***12. CLOSED MEETING***

380 There was no reason for a closed meeting.

381 ***13. ADJOURNMENT***

382 **Mr. Snook moved that the Board of Directors adjourn the meeting. Ms. Mallek seconded**
383 **the motion, which carried unanimously (7-0).**

384 Respectfully submitted,

385

386

387

388

389



Mr. Jeff Richardson
Secretary - Treasurer

